Chapter 11 / Capítulo 11

Applied bibliometrics. From data to publication (English Edition)
ISBN: 978-9915-9680-7-0
DOI: 10.62486/978-9915-9680-7-0.ch11

Publish and Communicate the Article / Publicar y Comunicar el Artículo

Bibliometric research only reaches its true culmination when the cycle of scientific publication and communication is complete. This process transforms individual work into a collective contribution to the body of knowledge, allowing the academic community to access, critique, validate, and build on its findings. Formal publication is the institutionalized mechanism that guarantees the preservation, dissemination, and legitimization of the knowledge generated, transcending the temporal and geographical limitations of the original research context. Without this essential step, even the most rigorous and innovative bibliometric study remains an incomplete academic exercise, deprived of its potential to influence the field's development.

The effective communication of bibliometric results is an epistemological imperative that goes beyond mere academic requirements. It is through the publication process that findings are subjected to critical peer review, integrated into ongoing disciplinary conversations, and become the foundation for future research.

The progressive validation of a bibliometric study occurs precisely through its use as a theoretical or methodological substrate by other researchers, who replicate, extend, or question its approaches and conclusions. This dialectical process of collective knowledge construction gives scientific publication its essential character within the contemporary research ecosystem.

Selecting the appropriate publication channel is a strategic decision that significantly influences the scope, impact, and legitimacy of the bibliometric study. Different journals and communication formats reach different audiences, operate under different methodological standards, and have varying levels of prestige and influence within the bibliometric community and applied disciplines. This initial decision must be carefully aligned with the research objectives, the methodological characteristics of the study, and the substantive contributions expected to be made to the field of knowledge. The selection process involves considering multiple dimensions that will be explored in detail in the following sections.

11.1. Journal selection

Identifying potential journals for publishing a bibliometric study requires a systematic analysis of multiple interrelated criteria. The journal's thematic scope is the first essential filter, determining whether it specializes in bibliometric studies, accepts methodological research in a specific disciplinary field, or favors bibliometric applications in particular subject areas. Reviewing recent issues allows for an evaluation of the alignment between the manuscript and the current editorial profile, identifying possible changes in the editorial team's thematic or methodological preferences. This initial evaluation prevents inappropriate submissions that would otherwise be rejected immediately for not fitting the journal's scope.

Analyzing the prestige and impact of potential journals involves considering quantitative metrics alongside qualitative assessments of disciplinary influence.

Traditional bibliometric indicators such as impact factor, CiteScore, or SJR provide comparative measures of visibility and influence. Still, they must be complemented by assessments of perceived prestige within the specific bibliometric community. Indexing in relevant databases such as Web of Science, Scopus, or specialized databases is another fundamental criterion, as it determines the accessibility and discoverability of the published article. This multidimensional analysis of potential impact enables the prioritization of journals that maximize the study's

visibility among target audiences.

The evaluation of editorial times and acceptance rates is a crucial practical consideration with significant implications for the timely dissemination of research. The average times from submission to editorial decision and from acceptance to publication directly affect the timeliness of findings in rapidly evolving fields. Consulting public editorial statistics, when available, or estimating them by examining the dates of recent articles provides valuable insights into each journal's operational efficiency.

This assessment must be balanced with hy considerations of quality and impact, recognizing that rigorous review processes typically require longer timelines.

Reviewing the methodological requirements and quality standards expected by each journal identifies necessary adjustments before submission. Some publications emphasize methodological innovation in bibliometrics, while others privilege substantive applications in specific disciplinary fields. Reviewing author guidelines and recently published articles reveals expectations regarding analytical depth, methodological sophistication, and contribution to the field. This proactive preparation significantly increases the likelihood of success in the peer review process by ensuring the manuscript meets the target journal's standards.

Consideration of open access policies and associated publication costs completes the feasibility analysis for journal selection. Gold, hybrid, or green access options offer distinct advantages in terms of reader reach, compliance with funder mandates, and author costs. Evaluating potential exemptions, institutional support, or inclusion in transformative agreements enables an informed decision on the economic sustainability of publication.

This practical dimension is particularly relevant in contexts with limited resources or when multiple authors have different funding capacities.

Consulting colleagues' experiences and reviews on academic platforms provides valuable qualitative insights into the editorial process of specific journals. Assessments of review quality, fairness in editorial decisions, and the professional treatment of authors complement quantitative criteria in decision-making. This collective intelligence, available through platforms such as Scopus, Web of Science, or specialized academic forums, helps anticipate potential challenges and select journals with rigorous but fair editorial processes.

The creation of a prioritized list of potential journals, typically between three and five options, is the culmination of the selection process.

This staggered strategy allows for sequential advancement toward higher-impact publications in the event of rejections, minimizing time lost between submissions. The list should reflect a realistic balance between aspirations for impact and probabilities of acceptance, considering the novelty, methodological soundness, and specific contribution of the bibliometric study. This methodical planning transforms journal selection from an arbitrary decision into a deliberate strategy to maximize the impact and reach of bibliometric research.

11.2. Adapting to the standards of the selected journal

Adapting the bibliometric manuscript to the specific standards of the target journal is a meticulous process that goes beyond mere technical format. This adaptation involves a deep understanding of the editorial expectations regarding structure, discursive style, and the

methodological approach characteristic of each publication.

The process begins with a detailed study of the instructions for authors, which specify requirements regarding maximum length, section structure, reference format, and citation conventions. This preparatory phase is crucial to avoid administrative rejections and to demonstrate respect for the publication's established standards.

Restructuring the content to align with the journal's preferred organizational patterns represents the first substantive step in the adaptation. Some publications favor traditional IMRaD structures, while others favor more flexible formats that prioritize narrative over sectional rigidity. Analysis of articles recently published in the journal reveals these implicit structural patterns, allowing the presentation of the bibliometric study to align with the editorial team's expectations. This structural adaptation facilitates evaluation during the review process by presenting information in formats familiar to editors and reviewers.

Adjusting the discursive style and technical level to the target audience profile ensures the manuscript's effective communicability. Journals specializing in bibliometrics allow dense technical language and assume familiarity with advanced methodological concepts. At the same time, disciplinary publications require greater contextualization of bibliometric methods and translation of findings into substantive implications for the field. This linguistic adaptation affects everything from the choice of terminology to the level of detail in the explanation of methodological procedures, always seeking a balance between technical precision and accessibility for the intended audience.

The reformulation of tables, figures, and visual elements to meet the journal's technical and aesthetic requirements ensures their communicative effectiveness within the specific publication context. Specifications regarding file formats, resolutions, color schemes, and labeling conventions must be meticulously implemented during this phase. Reviewing visualizations in recent issues provides additional guidance on preferred styles, acceptable levels of visual complexity, and effective text-figure integration strategies characteristic of the publication. This attention to visual detail demonstrates professionalism and facilitates final editorial production.

Adapting the reference and citation system to the journal's specific bibliographic standards completes the formal standardization of the manuscript.

Meticulous verification of each reference according to the required style, including author format, titles, sources, and punctuation, is a minor but critical aspect in shaping the perception of the work's quality and thoroughness.

The use of bibliographic managers facilitates this transition between styles, but requires subsequent manual verification to detect and correct automatic inconsistencies. This bibliographic precision reflects the academic rigor characteristic of high-quality research.

Adapting the abstract and keywords to the journal's specific conventions optimizes the article's visibility and retrieval once published. Some publications require structured abstracts with predetermined sections, while others prefer traditional narrative formats.

The selection of keywords should reflect both bibliometric technical terminology and disciplinary terms relevant to the target audience, facilitating the crossing of disciplinary

boundaries when appropriate. This metadata optimization maximizes the study's potential impact by ensuring visibility to the most relevant academic communities.

Thoroughly reviewing ethical aspects and required statements completes the process of adapting to standards. Verification of compliance with specific policies on authorship, conflicts of interest, data availability, and ethical approvals, where applicable, is a fundamental requirement for editorial acceptance. The inclusion of standardized statements in the formats and locations specified by the journal demonstrates a commitment to academic integrity and facilitates regulatory compliance assessment during the editorial process. This attention to ethical detail complements the technical and substantive adaptation of the manuscript.

Implementing all adaptations requires a thorough final review to ensure internal consistency throughout the transformed manuscript. Changes in structure, style, or format can introduce inconsistencies that undermine the argument's fluidity and the exposition's clarity. This holistic review verifies that the modifications made have improved, rather than merely altered, the presentation of the bibliometric study, maintaining its intellectual integrity while optimizing its fit with the expectations and standards of the selected journal. This iterative refinement process ensures that the manuscript represents the best possible version of the research for the specific publication context.

11.3. Presentation of information

Effectively communicating bibliometric results transcends written publication and includes various modes of presentation that broaden the research's reach and impact. Each presentation format requires specific adaptation strategies that take into account the audience's characteristics, the dissemination context, and the specific communication objectives. Versatility in presentation allows bibliometric findings to be directed to multiple stakeholders, from specialized scientific committees to decision-makers with limited technical training in bibliometrics. This communicative flexibility is essential to maximize the practical utility and social impact of bibliometric research.

11.3.1. Slide presentations

Slide presentations are the most widely used format for the oral communication of bibliometric results at conferences, seminars, and institutional meetings. Effective slide design balances substantive content with visual clarity, using the principle of informational minimalism to avoid cognitive overload in the audience. Each slide should convey a central idea through strategic combinations of concise text, striking visualizations, and graphic elements that guide attention to the most relevant findings.

This visual economy facilitates simultaneous auditory comprehension during the oral presentation.

The presentation narrative should be structured in a logical progression that contextualizes, evidences, and interprets the bibliometric findings. The typical sequence begins with the motivation and research questions, continues with a succinct methodology, presents key results through progressively visualized results, and culminates with implications and conclusions. Transitions between sections should establish explicit connections that maintain argumentative coherence throughout the presentation. This narrative structure transforms complex data into an understandable and memorable research story.

Adapting the technical level to the audience's specific profile determines the presentation's

communicative success.

For specialized bibliometric audiences, methodological and technical aspects can be explored in depth, whereas for disciplinary audiences, substantive implications for the field should be prioritized. The language should be modulated according to this criterion, avoiding unnecessary technical jargon or, conversely, providing essential definitions when presenting specialized bibliometric concepts. This audience sensitivity ensures that the central message transcends disciplinary barriers.

The effective integration of visual elements requires specific considerations for the projection format. Visualizations should be simplified compared to published versions, eliminating secondary details and enlarging critical aspects to ensure readability from a distance.

The strategic use of controlled animations can progressively reveal layers of complexity in multidimensional visualizations, guiding the audience's attention through the most significant patterns. This visual optimization for projection distinguishes effective slides from mere figure transfers from the written manuscript.

Preparation for interaction with the audience completes the design of successful presentations. Anticipating frequently asked questions, preparing supplementary slides with additional information, and mastering possible methodological objections are essential elements for competently handling question-and-answer sessions.

This comprehensive preparation transforms the presentation of bibliometric results from an informative monologue into a productive academic dialogue that enriches the interpretation and contextualization of the findings presented.

11.3.2. Reproducible reports with RMarkdown and Google Colab

Reproducible reports represent a contemporary standard of methodological transparency in bibliometric research, integrating code, results, and interpretive narrative into self-contained documents. RMarkdown allows the generation of dynamic reports that execute bibliometric analyses directly from R code, automatically updating results and visualizations in response to changes in data or analytical parameters. This integration ensures consistency between the reported analyses and the underlying code, facilitating verification and extension of the study by other researchers.

The structuring of reproducible reports follows modular organization principles that clearly separate the phases of data loading, preprocessing, analysis, and visualization. Each section of the report combines fragments of executable code with explanatory text that contextualizes the operations performed and interprets the results generated. This integration of code and narrative prose transforms the report from a mere static report into an executable document that comprehensively documents the complete analytical flow of the bibliometric study.

Google Colab offers a Python-based alternative for creating reproducible reports accessible through web browsers without requiring local software installation. The ability to execute code in cloud infrastructure facilitates the processing of large volumes of bibliographic data and the easy sharing of interactive notebooks with collaborators. Integration with Google Drive simplifies the management of bibliometric datasets and the versioning of analyses, which is particularly valuable in distributed, collaborative projects.

Implementing reproducibility principles in bibliometric reports includes explicit dependency management, documentation of software versions, and the use of randomization seeds for analyses involving stochastic components. These practices allow for the exact replication of analyses months or years after their initial execution, addressing fundamental concerns about the temporal sustainability of computational research.

This attention to technical detail differentiates professionally reproducible reports from mere academic scripts.

The publication of reproducible reports alongside traditional manuscripts substantially enriches the bibliometric communication ecosystem.

Platforms such as GitHub, Zenodo, or specialized institutional repositories facilitate the permanent archiving and formal citation of these complementary resources. This emerging practice sets new standards for methodological transparency in the field, allowing the bibliometric community to build more efficiently on previous work by directly accessing the underlying computational implementations.

11.3.3. Interactive dashboards with Shiny, Dash, and Streamlit

Interactive dashboards transform the visualization of bibliometric results from static representations into dynamic, exploratory experiences that allow users to customize analytical perspectives to their specific interests. Shiny (R), Dash (Python), and Streamlit (Python) are the predominant frameworks for developing interactive web applications that communicate bibliometric findings through browser-accessible interfaces. These tools enable the creation of dashboards where users can filter data, adjust parameters, and switch between visualizations without requiring programming knowledge.

Effective bibliometric dashboard design balances advanced exploratory capabilities with intuitive interfaces that minimize the learning curve for end users.

The typical organization includes control panels with filtering widgets (sliders, drop-down menus, range selectors). These main visualization areas dynamically respond to user interactions and information panels that contextualize the displayed data. This modular architecture guides users through the analytical space without overwhelming them with unnecessary complexity.

Implementing bibliometric dashboards requires specific considerations regarding the volume and structure of the underlying data.

Performance optimization through techniques such as metric pre-aggregation, strategic sampling for large-dataset visualizations, and intelligent caching ensures responsive user experiences even with extensive bibliographic corpora.

These technical considerations are critical to the success of dashboards designed to explore large-scale document collections typical in bibliometrics.

Customizing dashboards according to specific user profiles maximizes their practical utility for different stakeholders in the scientific system. Dashboards for research managers can emphasize indicators of productivity and institutional collaboration, while versions for researchers can prioritize tools for thematic exploration and identification of emerging trends. This contextual adaptation transforms generic dashboards into specialized tools that address

the specific information needs of different user communities.

The deployment and maintenance of interactive dashboards are essential operational considerations for their long-term sustainability. Platforms such as shinyapps.io, Heroku, or institutional servers provide hosting infrastructure with different advantages in terms of cost, control, and scalability. Implementing strategies for automatic data updates, usage monitoring, and iterative evolution based on user feedback ensures that dashboards remain relevant and helpful beyond the initial life cycle of the research project.

11.3.4. Scientific posters for conferences

Scientific posters represent an intermediate format between written publications and oral presentations, ideal for receiving focused feedback during academic events. Effective bibliometric poster design balances information density with visual clarity, organizing content into logical columns that guide the viewer through the research narrative.

The strategic use of typographic hierarchies, high-impact visual elements, and sufficient white space differentiates professional posters from mere overwhelming compilations of text and figures.

Adapting bibliometric visualizations to the poster format requires specific modifications to ensure readability at close range. Figures should be simplified compared to the published versions, with critical elements enlarged and high-contrast color palettes optimized for printing. The inclusion of descriptive titles and self-explanatory captions allows each visualization to communicate effectively even without immediate verbal mediation by the researcher. This visual autonomy is crucial during poster sessions with high attendee traffic.

The preparation of complementary materials enriches interaction during poster sessions. Extended abstracts, contact cards with links to digital resources, and reduced versions of the poster for distribution facilitate follow-up on conversations initiated during the event. This additional layer of resources transforms the poster presentation from an isolated event into the beginning of possible future collaborations based on the bibliometric findings presented.

11.3.5. Executive summaries for decision makers

Executive summaries translate bibliometric findings into formats accessible to management and science policy audiences with limited time and specialized technical training. These documents condense complex results into concise narratives that highlight practical implications, identified opportunities, and actionable recommendations. The language should prioritize clarity over technicality, translating specialized bibliometric concepts into clear, actionable implications for research system planning and evaluation.

The structure of executive summaries follows established conventions that prioritize key messages in the initial sections, with synthetic evidence presented in subsequent sections. The inclusion of high-impact visualizations, contextualized metrics through comparisons with relevant benchmarks, and highlights of counterintuitive findings increases the communicative effectiveness of these documents. This expository economy differentiates effective executive summaries from mere superficial simplifications of complex bibliometric analyses.

The strategic distribution of executive summaries completes the process of transfer to non-academic audiences. The identification of formal and informal channels within scientific governance structures, the adaptation of formats according to specific institutional protocols,

and follow-up to evaluate the use of findings maximize the potential impact of bibliometric research on decision-making processes. This practical projection transcends traditional academic communication, directly influencing the evolution of research systems.

Concluding this section on the publication and communication of bibliometric studies, the foundations are laid for research to transcend the traditional academic sphere and generate a tangible impact on society.

Careful selection of journals, adherence to editorial standards, and mastery of multiple dissemination formats are essential skills that ensure the effective transfer of bibliometric knowledge to diverse audiences and application contexts. It is precisely this ability to connect methodological rigor with real-world needs that gives way to the next exploratory dimension: the practical application of bibliometrics in emerging scenarios and its growing influence on science, technology, and innovation ecosystems.

Recap

- Choose the journal according to its scope, audience, and editorial policy.
- Read the instructions for authors before submitting the manuscript.
- Write a clear and concise cover letter addressed to the editor.
- Use preprints for early dissemination when permitted by the journal's editorial policy.
- Select suggested reviewers ethically and responsibly.
- Understand the types of peer review and their implications.
- Deposit data and code in repositories with DOIs for reproducibility.
- Monitor impact through altmetrics and downloads.
- Adapt figures and graphic materials for different audiences.
- Prepare a summary for dissemination to the press or social media.
- Properly tag the article's metadata.
- Evaluate open access costs and options.
- Keep archived versions of manuscripts and correspondence.
- Promote open science through shared data and code.
- Monitor dissemination performance on academic networks.
- Participate in forums and conferences to increase visibility.
- Ensure compliance with ethics and copyright policies.
- Avoid misleading self-promotion or metric manipulation.
- Document the post-publication communication strategy.
- Evaluate academic and social impact as part of the closing process.

Self-assessment questions

1. What criteria help you choose the right journal?
2. What elements should a cover letter include?
3. What are the advantages and risks of publishing a preprint?
4. How are suggested reviewers chosen ethically?
5. What are the benefits of depositing data and code in repositories?
6. What do altmetrics measure, and how do they differ from traditional citations?
7. What ethical considerations should be taken into account when publicly disseminating results?
8. What types of peer review exist?
9. How can visibility be increased without violating editorial policies?
10. Why is it advisable to keep all versions and editorial correspondence?

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Gasparyan AY, Yessirkepov M, Voronov AA, Gerasimov AN, Kostyukova EI, Kitas GD. Scientific Publishing: Process, Practices, and Ethics. Cham: Springer; 2019. ISBN: 9783030218097.

2. Thelwall M. Web Indicators for Research Evaluation: A Practical Guide. San Rafael (CA): Morgan & Claypool; 2016. DOI: 10.2200/S00733ED1V01Y201602ICR048.

3. Sugimoto CR, Larivière V. Measuring Research: What Everyone Needs to Know. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018. ISBN: 9780190640125.

4. Tennant JP, Ross-Hellauer T, et al., editors. The State of the Art in Scholarly Communication. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 2020. ISBN: 9780262539371.